International Journal For Advanced Research In Science & Technology
A peer reviewed international journal www.ijarst.in
ISSN: 2457-0362

A STUDY OF BETTER TASK RESERVATION FOR DRAWBACKS

DIVYA JYOTI
RESEARCH SCHOLAR MONAD UNIVERSITY HAPUR U.P.

DR. RAJEEV YADAV
PROFESSOR MONAD UNIVERSITY HAPUR U.P.

ABSTRACT

The suggested study is an attempt to improve upon current methods of task reservation by addressing their flaws and restrictions. The research is inspired by a number of pressing issues in the area of resource scheduling and allocation. Inefficiencies in the allocation of resources are a common problem with current techniques of scheduling tasks. The project's expenses, timeline, and quality might all suffer as a consequence of inefficient resource allocation. Better resource allocation optimization is required to maximize output while decreasing inefficiency. When it comes to responding to sudden shifts and unanticipated occurrences, the traditional techniques of task reserving fall short. Disruptions, the lack of necessary resources, and priorities that change are all common occurrences in projects. The suggested study intends to fill this void by creating a procedure for dynamically adjusting resource distribution, thereby making the project more flexible. When numerous activities use the same resources at the same time, conflicts over allocation may emerge. Delays, slowed progress on projects, and worse overall system performance are common results of using the current techniques for reserving tasks, which typically lack adequate dispute resolution mechanisms. There has to be a more effective approach to dispute resolution if we are to maximize the use of scarce resources. Conventional techniques of task reservation may become inadequate to meet the needs of increasingly complex and large-scale projects and systems.

KEYWORDS: - Better Task Reservation, Conventional techniques, Drawbacks, scheduling tasks, projects and systems.

INTRODUCTION

Optimizing resource allocation and scheduling is a top priority in many fields, making task reservation approaches essential. These techniques are designed to guarantee effective use of assets and punctual job fulfilment. However, the efficacy and efficiency of current task reservation systems are often hampered by a number of disadvantages. This study suggests a new approach to task reservation that gets rid of these issues, leading to better results in areas like resource allocation, dispute resolution, scalability, and overall system performance.

Effective resource management is essential for maximizing output and keeping projects on schedule. However, there are drawbacks to traditional work reservation systems that reduce their efficiency:

Lack of Flexibility: Unfortunately, many of the currently available approaches to scheduling tasks lack the adaptability required to deal with fluctuations and unanticipated occurrences. These approaches often assign tasks and personnel based on rigid timelines, which may lead to wasteful use of resources and delays if the unexpected arises. To improve resource allocation in real time, a more adaptable strategy is required.

Inadequate Conflict Resolution: When numerous jobs at once need the same resources, disagreements over allocation sometimes result. Such disputes may be difficult to settle with current task reservation mechanisms, which may cause delays, wasteful resource consumption, and slowed progress on projects. To reduce tensions and guarantee equitable distribution of resources, a superior approach should integrate effective systems for dealing with them.

Limited Scalability: Conventional techniques of task reservation may struggle to keep up with the needs of increasingly complex and large-scale projects or systems. It is possible for these approaches to fail when faced with a high number of tasks, resources, or limitations, resulting in inefficient resource allocation. Addressing the resource allocation requirements of complex and developing systems calls for a scalable strategy.

Lack of Optimization: Many of the current approaches to scheduling tasks don't take into account optimization objectives including decreasing idle time, increasing resource use, and shortening the length of the project as a whole. As a result, resource allocation choices may not be made in the most cost-effective way possible. If we want to enhance the results of resource allocation, we need a more complex approach that can integrate optimization approaches.

SUBJECT MATTER

If the required authorisation resources are not immediately available, tasks submitted to a grid computing environment with a standard scheduler are often placed in a "pass the time" queue. Scheduling algorithms [1] [2] that determine the order in which jobs are run based on factors like the available resources, the time of submission, and the deadline for completion vary from one grid system to the next. The timing of these tasks is not guaranteed by these scheduling techniques [3].

We need a system of forward planning and reservation in order to reduce unnecessary wait times and guarantee that the required resources will be accessible for applications at the appointed time. To ensure that their applications have access to sufficient resources at all times, users may make future reservations for those resources across several scheduling systems [4].

Advanced resource reservation methods including Dynamic Resource Reservation (DRR), Optimal Resource Reservation (ORR), and Reservation Scheduler with Priorities and Benefits (RSPB) were investigated in this paper.

, Time-Slice based Advance Resource Reservation, and so on. This study presented a QoS (Quality of Service) guaranteed in a Grid system using Advanced Dynamic Resource Reservation for both computational and multiple network resources.

A. Dynamic Resource Reservation (DRR)

When dealing with problems that need extensive calculation, it is necessary to use grid systems for the first execution, which is accomplished via resource sharing. Reserving resources in advance ensures that they will be available when needed. Priority-based; time-slice-based; and optimal resource reservation are the three types of in-advance reservation systems given here.

All reservations are made with the assumption that they will be used, however there are situations in which they won't be used after all, such as when the underlying network fails or the parent or child process dies. This technique [5] for dynamic resource reservation (DRR) examines unused reservations and assigns them accordingly. The unused portion of the current reservation might be applied to the reserve for the work in progress.

B. Optimal Resource Reservation (ORR)

From the select_slice_queue, the ORR algorithms choose the best time slice. The algorithm receives the process's job_id and the select_slice_queue as input and then dispatches the requested amount of work to

International Journal For Advanced Research In Science & Technology
A peer reviewed international journal www.ijarst.in
IJARST
ISSN: 2457-0362

the corresponding resource. Time slots that may be reserved are kept in a queue called select_slice_queue. In ORR, the function of measurement comes before the number of items in the demanding queue. At first, the amount of time needed to complete the task is estimated [6]. It is calculated by determining the difference between the end time of the work and its beginning time. When this occurs, the observed cost is nullified. It serves as a kind of "flag" variable. If this field is left blank, the reservation will not be possible. The slot is only accessible when the dial is set to the one position. The select_slice_queue's size is used to calculate the free slice's dimensions. The select_slice_queue keeps track of the difference between the slice's start and end times, which is why the slot variable stores this information. Since this approach does not need context switching, the first slice that meets the size requirements is chosen.

C. Reservation Scheduler with Priorities and Benefit Functions (RSPB)

Each reservation appeal in RSPB is paired with a benefit function that calculates the client's "profit" from "saving" the resource at peak demand. If the customer is prepared to negotiate for lower service levels, the business should consider presenting a benefit function that indicates a smaller but still substantial benefit for lesser resource commitments. When there is a shortage of help, this capacity provided by the benefit characteristics eliminates the necessity for discussions.

Because of this centralized scheduling mechanism, the principal scheduler for usable resource reservations is implicit. The arrival of requests follows a Poisson distribution. The requests occur at unpredictable intervals in real time, so the reservation scheduler can't hold off on scheduling until they're all in. Decisions on requests should be made either as they come in or after a batch comes in [8].

D. Time-Slice based Advance Resource Reservation (TARR)

This method of reserving resources [9] ensures that those assets aren't used by anybody else, even if the resource is sitting idle at a later time slice after the reservation began. The user may use this time slice reservation till the Defer Time (DT) each day to make a precise booking. In addition, a maximum restriction is placed on the NTS in order to lessen the time spent restarting jobs that have been temporarily halted because of a lack of necessary resources. When submitting a task for processing, the user specifies the beginning and ending times as well as any delays that should be applied to the use of the resources.

The beginning and ending times are the earliest and latest times when the resources may be used. It is not always possible to use the reserved assistance between the scheduled start and end periods. The reservation may be processed until the deferred time in this situation. The reservation may be delayed until the defer time [10].

E. The proposed Advanced Dynamic Resource Reservation (ADRR) Model

The task handler travels through five stages, as shown by the Advanced Dynamic Resource Reservation (ADRR) Model, which is displayed when the user submits the work. In the first phase, customers are ranked by importance. In the second phase, the task handler determines if the job is new or old and sends it on to the DPR. The DPR receives the new job along with any user requirements when the job handler recognizes the work as new. Once the job handler determines that the work has reached maturity, it will send the job along with the corresponding job_id to the DPR.

The third stage involves sorting each user's tasks according to their durations. The fourth stage involves prioritizing the jobs of different users depending on work duration and the importance of the user to whom the tasks belong. The number of a user's work that will be scheduled and sent to reservation is determined by the Gridlet Sorting Policy (GSP), which is based on a preset value. Gridlet queue is the queue where tasks are held before being forwarded to the resource analyzer in the final state.

GRID SYSTEM TAXONOMY

Grid computing is making possible a concept with enormous applicability. Specialized Grid systems are being planned by various institutions and organizations to ascertain their unique needs. There is a wide variety of ways in which grid computing may be put to use to meet the needs of different sorts of applications. Grid systems may be broken down into three groups, each corresponding to a distinct set of application domains. Two or more of these categories may coexist in a single Real Grid. What follows is a breakdown of the three main types of Grid systems.

- **Computational Grid:** A computational Grid is a system that pools computers together to do more complex calculations than can be performed by any one machine.

Computational Grids may be further categorized into distributed supercomputing and high throughput categories based on how the computing power is being used. Parallel application execution over several computers at once is developed by a distributed supercomputing Grid to speed up processing times. To maximize the utilization of available idle CPU cycles, a high-throughput Grid is built to boost the task completion rate in a continuous stream.

- **Data Grid:** To accommodate and provide access to data across many enterprises, a data Grid is responsible. Users are not bothered by the location of this information until it is time to utilize it. Consider the case of two colleges, each doing life sciences research with its own set of data. Sharing data, controlling data, and handling security concerns would all be possible with a data Grid. One example of a data grid is the European DataGrid [18] Project.

- **Grid Storage:** A storage Grid is an effort to pool unused storage capacity in Grid settings in order to provide customers with accessible, highly-secure data storage. However, Grids may be built at any scale, from a few of nodes in a localized area to a hierarchical network of nodes covering the globe.

IntraGrid: Within a company, a common intraGrid topology may be found. A private, high-speed local network may connect several computers in this company that all belong to the same security domain. IntraGrids are distinguished from other networks primarily by the fact that they serve a single enterprise and provide guaranteed bandwidth across a private network. Because intraGrids provide a generally stable collection of computing resources and communication capability across computers, they make it simpler to construct the scheduling system.

A couple of IntraGrids may be joined together to form an extraGrid. The extraGrid often involves many spheres of management, which raises the bar for complexity in the workplace. Separate security, numerous domains, and wide-area network (WAN) access are what set an ExtraGrid apart. The resources available inside of an ExtraGrid are more flexible. If a company has a well-thought-out business strategy that involves reputable third parties, then they will benefit from using an ExtraGrid.

GRID RESOURCE RESERVATION CONCEPTS

The Grid Resource Management System's primary responsibility is resource

IJARST
International Journal For Advanced Research In Science & Technology
A peer reviewed international journal
www.ijarst.in
ISSN: 2457-0362

reservation. The process of planning and reserving the resources needed to carry out a task successfully include first analyzing the task at hand to determine what resources will be required. The user may access the saved resources with little effort thanks to these knock-on effects. Security, fault tolerance, and scheduling are only few of the many facets of resource management. Allocation, assignment, authentication, authorization, assurance, accounting, and auditing all take place via this system. Traditional resources include things like processing power, data transmission capacity, network speed, and storage space or capacity.

To reserve resources, a Grid RMS (Resource Management System) must satisfy the following conditions.

- ❖ Scheduling and controlling resources on every node in a computer system or network environment necessitates a Grid resource management system.
- ❖ Because application requests might affect the availability of resources and the quality of service guarantees made to other programs, Grid RMS must foresee these consequences.
- ❖ Grid RMS must protect local control at each facility. Conventional resource management systems work under the assumption that they have full command over the resource and can, therefore, implement all of the necessary processes and regulations for optimizing its usage. But the Grid's assets are scattered among several different governing authorities. These effects may be seen in the form of resource heterogeneity, differences in consumption, scheduling regulations, and security procedures.
- ❖ Co-allocation of Grid resources is a responsibility of the Grid RMS. The challenge of distributing resources in different locations to the same application at the same time is known as "co-allocation."

A Grid system allows for the dynamic addition and removal of resources. The Grid is used to run a wide variety of submissions, each of which has unique resource requirements. Owners of a resource are responsible for determining the associated rules and expenditures. This calls for communication and compromise between resource consumers and suppliers, which should be possible through a grid resource management system.

- ✓ The framework for managing resources must be flexible enough to include new policies without requiring a major rewrite of the underlying code.

- ✓ Grid RMS is also responsible for
- ✓ ensuring the security of resources and their underlying integrity. A security manager is required to work in tandem with the resource management system.

CO-SCHEDULING, CO-RESERVATION AND CO-ALLOCATION

Meta-schedulers are defined as middlemen that take user requests and assign them to a local cluster scheduler. They may also be used to set up different cluster arrangements [19, 20]. Efficient algorithms to co-scheduling, co-reservation, and co-allocation are necessary for the usage of advanced reservation in multi-schedulers.

Co-Scheduling

Job execution time, location, and resource allocation are all determined by the schedule. Implicit co-scheduling refers to the capability of scheduling tasks to run simultaneously on various processing nodes. Co-scheduling [21] might be either "Gang" or "Loosely.

- ✓ **Gang:** task scheduling procedure that runs in parallel on several nodes or processors.
- ✓ Loosely derived from research into the challenges of scheduling serial and parallel workloads in NOW (Network of Workstations) settings. This development relies on the scheduling and communication structure of the involved nodes.

Co-Reservation

The co-reservation function is typified by the sequential grid-like design of coordinated utilization of cluster resources. Timeslot tables are often used to display the allocation % of resources at a given moment. Current allocations and prospective reserves may be managed with the use of these tables [22].

Co-Allocation

Co-allocation refers to the sharing of grid resources across many clusters for utilization at the same time. Co-allocation, as defined by [23], is the coordinated process of allocating resources across various administrative domains.

RESOURCE RESERVATION ARCHITECTURE

Users may make reservations in advance for cluster resources using the Advanced Reservation of Resources (ARR) module.

To keep InteGrade's foundational elements in place, as seen in Figure 2, the ARR module was added to the Cluster Manager.

The ARR's functionality is managed through its service modules. The aforementioned features include the Reservation Service, the Execution Service, and the Notification Service.

The Reservation Service handles everything related to the administration of reservations. In other words, it checks to see whether the specified time slot is available and, if it isn't, reschedules the reservation.

User Node provides the service with the data it needs to run an application that was developed by InteGrade. Information about applications, such as their kind (sequential, parametric, or parallel), the number of resources required for its execution, their unique identifier inside the Application Repository, and their history of in-advance reservation, are all examples of data that may be saved in this way. Start-time:

The beginning of the executions; End-time: The conclusion of the executions; User: The name of the person authorized to manage saved reservations. Messages sent to the user's email address.

The Execution Service initiates the process of running an application. The service then retrieves the execution details from the database and passes them on to Cluster Manager, which initiates the necessary steps for the application to be executed.

CONCLUSION

The suggested study is an attempt to improve upon current methods of task reservation by addressing their flaws and restrictions. The research is inspired by a number of pressing issues in the area of resource scheduling and allocation. Inefficiencies in the allocation of resources are a common problem with current techniques of scheduling tasks. The project's expenses, timeline, and quality might all suffer as a consequence of inefficient resource allocation. Better resource allocation optimization is required to maximize output while decreasing inefficiency. When it comes to responding to sudden shifts and unanticipated occurrences, the traditional techniques of task reserving fall short. Disruptions, the lack of necessary resources, and priorities that change are all common occurrences in projects. The suggested study intends to fill this void by creating a procedure for dynamically adjusting resource distribution, thereby making the project more flexible. When numerous activities use the same resources at the same time, conflicts over allocation may emerge. Delays, slowed progress on projects, and worse overall system performance are common results of using the current techniques for reserving tasks, which typically lack adequate dispute resolution mechanisms.

REFERENCES

- F.Donno, H.Stockinger, R.Puccinelli and K.Stockinger, "Data Grid Tutorials with Hands-on Experience", 1st International Workshop on Grid Education (Grid.Edu 2004), Chicago, Illinois, April 19-22, 2004, IEEE Computer Society Press.
- J.MacLaren, "Advance Reservations: State of the Art (draft)", Global Grid Forum (GGF), June 2003. http://www.ggf.org.
- W.Smith, I.Foster and V.Taylor, "Scheduling with advanced reservations", In
- Proceedings of the International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS'00), Cancun, Mexico, May 1-5, 2000.
- A.Schill, F.Breiter and S.Kuhn, "Design and evaluation of an advance reservation protocol on top of rsvp", In Proceedings of the IFIP TC6/WG6.2 4th International Conference on Broadband Communications (BC'98), Stuttgart, Germany, April 1998.
- Nirmala Devi , A. Pethalakshmi, PhD, " DRR: Dynamic Resource Reservation in Grid Computing", International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 159 – No 6, February 2017
- S.Nirmala Devi1 and A.Pethalakshmi, " ORR: Optimal Resource Reservation In Grid Computing Environments", Indian Journal of Science and Technology, Vol 9(48), DOI: 10.17485/ijst/2016/v9i48/102300, December 2016
- Devi SN, Pethalakshmi., "An Object Oriented Approach to Disseminate Education by Applying Grid Computing Techniques in Universities and Educational Institutions in India", Proceedings of 2012 IEEE International Conference on Technology Enhanced Education (ICTEE).INDIA: 2012.

- H. Chu and K. Nahrstedt, "A Soft Real Time Scheduling Server in UNIX Operating System,"European Workshop on Interactive Distributed Multimedia Systems and Telecommunication Services (IDMS '97), Sep. 1997.
- S. Nirmala Devi, A. Pethalakshmi," TARR: Time Slice based Advance Resource Reservation in Grid Computing Environments", International Journal of Computational Intelligence and Informatics, Vol. 6: No. 1, June 2016
- Rui Min, Muthucumaru Maheswaran, "Scheduling Advance Reservations with Priorities in Grid Computing Systems". In proceedings of 2002 2nd IEEE / ACM International Symposium on Cluster Computing and the Grid, 266 – 268.
- Foster and C. Kesselman, editors. The Grid: Blueprint for a Future Computing Infrastructure. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, 1998.
- Foster, C. Kesselman, and S. Tueche. The anatomy of the Grid. Intl. J. Supercomputer Applications, 2001.