



PERFORMANCE OF CONTROL STRATEGIES FOR ENERGY MANAGEMENT IN HYBRID ELECTRIC VEHICLES

PATIL SWATI SHANTARAM

RESEARCH SCHOLAR, SABARMATI UNIVERSITY, AHAMDABAD, GUJRAT.

DR. TARIKA PATEL

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, SABARMATI UNIVERSITY, AHAMDABAD, GUJRAT.

ABSTRACT

Hybrid Electric Vehicles (HEVs) are all the rage in the car market since they are more eco-friendly and use less energy than regular cars. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the performance of three distinct control strategies—Fuzzy logic controllers, Proportional-Integral-Derivative, and Proportional-Integral—in controlling the flow of energy in a ZnO-capacitor-equipped hybrid electric vehicle (HEV). To lay the groundwork for implementing the different control approaches, a mathematical Energy Management System (EMS) model is created to depict the interplay between the ICE, electric motor, battery, and ZnO-capacitor. Performance indicators are the primary emphasis of the simulations carried out in MATLAB/Simulink. In terms of energy efficiency, reduced power loss, and improved vehicle acceleration, the results show that the Fuzzy logic controller is the best strategy for optimizing the performance of the HEV with ZnO-capacitors, surpassing both the PI and PID controllers across all metrics.

Keywords: Electric Vehicle, Capacitor, Power Loss, Acceleration, Controller

I. INTRODUCTION

Rising environmental consciousness and the diminishing supply of traditional fossil fuels have led to a dramatic upsurge in the need for environmentally friendly and economically viable modes of

transportation throughout the world in recent years. Hybrid Electric Vehicles (HEVs) are one of the many viable options; they take the best features of both electric motors and internal combustion engines (ICEs) and combine them to create a cleaner, more efficient, and longer-lasting form of



transportation. [1]The primary goal of hybrid electric vehicles is to improve fuel economy, decrease emissions of greenhouse gases, and lessen dependency on traditional fuels. An efficient energy management system (EMS) is essential for hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) to maximize performance and efficiency due to its hybrid architecture, which combines an electric motor with an internal combustion engine. At this point, energy management plays a key role.[2]

To maximize performance, energy management in HEVs entails precisely regulating the transfer of energy between the vehicle's electric motor, gasoline engine, and battery. Assuring optimal fuel efficiency, reducing hazardous emissions, and providing sufficient driving performance while preserving the lifespan of the battery and other components are all essential components of an efficient EMS. Because HEVs include a conventional engine as well as a motor that runs on batteries, it is up to the EMS to choose when and how to use each power source. Finding the sweet spot between fuel efficiency and power production is the main objective. To do this, the mechanical and electrical systems must

be intelligently coordinated to guarantee energy flow and seamless transitions.

A high-capacity battery is the main component of a HEV's energy storage system, which is essential for maintaining a enough charge for the electric motor. Maintaining overall vehicle efficiency and extending the lifespan of the battery requires careful management of its state of charge (SOC), performance, and prevention of overcharging or deep draining. You may use the electric motor to start the car, slow down, and even generate energy as you brake (regenerative braking). However, when the battery becomes low or acceleration is needed, the internal combustion engine steps in to offer more power. To maximize fuel efficiency, minimize emissions, and enhance overall vehicle performance, it is crucial to balance these two energy sources. Hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) use energy management systems and procedures to further improve their energy efficiency. Methods for controlling the electric motor's and the internal combustion engine's interaction are based on algorithms and decision-making procedures. [3] When it comes to vehicle economy, fuel consumption, and complexity, there are a few energy



management options to choose from. These include rule-based systems, optimum control, and model predictive control. For example, rule-based systems use variables like speed, battery life, and driver behavior to determine when to use the engine and when to use the electric motor. When it comes to energy use, optimal control techniques take into account the vehicle's operating circumstances and make real-time adjustments to the power distribution in order to maximize efficiency.

Energy management in HEVs has been further revolutionized by the development of advanced technologies like machine learning (ML) and artificial intelligence (AI). With the help of these technologies, the system can adapt to changing driving habits and environmental factors, allowing it to make better energy management decisions as time goes on. By sifting through mountains of data sent by the car, machine learning algorithms can optimize charging and discharging cycles, forecast future energy needs, and boost system efficiency. Energy management systems in HEVs are getting smarter and more adaptive with the addition of smart algorithms. [4] This means that the vehicle can run

more efficiently while consuming less energy and producing fewer pollutants. Using regenerative braking is another important part of managing energy in HEVs. The kinetic energy that is produced during braking is converted into electrical energy and stored in a battery for later use by regenerative braking systems. In addition to recharging the battery, this procedure improves the vehicle's energy efficiency. Vehicle brake, electric motor, and battery management system cooperation is crucial for regenerative braking effectiveness. Maximizing the efficiency of regenerative braking systems may save fuel usage, prolong the life of batteries, and cut down on energy waste.

Effective battery management is crucial for HEVs to work properly since it affects the vehicle's overall performance and energy efficiency. [5] In order to keep the battery from overheating and overdischarging, it is the job of the battery management system (BMS) to track the battery's voltage, temperature, and charge level. By avoiding the two most detrimental charging and discharging conditions—overcharging and deep draining—an effective BMS can also aid in extending the life of the



battery. Because it is one of the most costly parts of a HEV, the battery must be managed optimally in order to lower operating costs over time. Adding solar panels on HEVs is just one more innovative thing happening in the world of energy management. Although it is still in its infancy, the idea of combining solar panels with HEVs to power the battery when it is parked or during the day has garnered a lot of interest. Further reduction in dependence on traditional energy sources and improvement of HEV sustainability might be achieved by implementing this idea. While issues like cost, efficiency, and energy storage still exist, new possibilities for more sustainable energy management in HEVs may arise as solar technology advances.

From all these, we came to know that the energy management system is a heart of the hybrid electric vehicles and hence the proper theoretical understanding of Ems will be very useful in the new innovations in hybrid electric vehicles. With this, we have done the computational study of all important parameters which affects the EMS of the Hybrid vehicles.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Zhang, Fengqi et al., (2020) [6] There is encouraging evidence that hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) can reduce emissions and save money on gas. Developing the appropriate energy management strategies (EMSs) for HEVs is challenging in and of itself, but the solution's value is often shown in the amount of fuel consumption avoided. Even if there are competing goals, it is critical to satisfy the design criteria in order to achieve optimal power distribution. Because of this, there are a lot of different EMSs out there; what's needed is a way to sort them all according to their design and control contributions, with a focus on things like real-time applicability, power demand, and fuel efficiency. The two primary focuses of the study are (a) offline EMSs, which include rule-based and global optimization-based EMSs, and (b) online EMSs, which include learning-based, predictive, and instantaneous optimization-based EMSs. Given the emphasis on the proposed scheme, a plethora of ways are provided, and the fundamental idea of each approach is detailed, compared, and evaluated in terms of all relevant benefits and drawbacks. This second installment includes an extensive literature review. Lastly, we examine future key



developments from diverse angles and identify research gaps that need greater attention. There are two primary benefits to this work. First, a comprehensive review of current EMSs for HEVs is provided, and for the first time, state-of-the-art approaches are presented within a single framework. Second, in order to help researchers and scholars build future-generation HEVs, this work tries to explain how to pick the best EMS approach.

Shekhar, Tanay & Shrivastava, Jyoti. (2015)[7] An effective and expandable energy management system (EMS) utilizing fuel cells and batteries for Hybrid Electric Vehicles has been developed in response to growing concerns about environmental factors such as oil depletion, global warming, and CO₂ gas emissions. This article presents the results of a Matlab-based analysis of a hypothetical hybrid electric vehicle (HEV) that incorporates an energy management system and several energy sources. With the help of MATLAB, we plan and simulate the various energy sources, including the battery, fuel cell (FC), electrical management system (EMS), and power controller. The EMS of a vehicle's many energy sources receives ongoing

assistance from the established control techniques under typical load situations. Vehicle speed and load power are used to evaluate the suggested system's performance. An effective and practically viable EMS for light electric cars is provided by the suggested control method, according to these research findings.

Long, Bo et al., (2014) [8] There are still a lot of problems with today's battery-powered electric vehicles: First, methods to increase the power output of regenerative braking; second, ways to maximize the electric vehicle's (EV) driving range and battery life; and third, ways to meet the EVs' energy needs in both static and dynamic states. In a hybrid power supply system (HPSS), electrochemical double-layer capacitors—also known as ultra-capacitors (UCs)—are typically paired with power battery packs due to their high energy density and ability to provide immediate power. This research has shown the HPSS power circuit architecture. It is important to think about how much power will go to the batteries and how much will go to the UCs in the planned HPSS since connecting all of the UCs in series might lead to an uneven voltage distribution.



This issue has been addressed using an energy-management plan. In addition, the HPSS modeling technique becomes extremely challenging owing to parameter variances induced by temperature changes and created mistakes; hence, a H8 current controller is included. A digital signal processor (DSP) is used to build the suggested hybrid power source circuit on a hardware setup in the lab. To prove the approach's viability and validity, simulation and experimental findings have been presented.

Ghazaly, Nouby. (2014) [9] Hybrid electric vehicles have recently attracted a lot of attention because to their promising combination of a smaller-than-average engine with electric motors and an energy storage system, which has the ability to greatly increase fuel economy, decrease emissions, and provide high performance. The control strategy of a hybrid vehicle becomes more intricate compared to an engine-only vehicle as a result of the many power sources, complex setup, and operating modes. In order to reduce pollutants and fuel consumption, this study aims to provide power management solutions for hybrid electric cars and regulate the power management that determines the

right power split between the motor and the engine.

Salmasi, Farzad. (2007) [10] There has been a dramatic rise in the importance of the hybrid drivetrain's energy management system with the rising popularity of hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs). Current methods of HEV control are categorized and reviewed in detail in this study. The advantages and disadvantages of each method are examined. There is a qualitative comparison of real-time solutions from several angles. The paper concludes by highlighting a few key points that need to be considered when control techniques are upgraded in the future. Here are some advantages of this paper: (1) providing a groundwork for future enhancements, (2) creating a framework for evaluating existing approaches, and (3) assisting committed researchers in selecting the correct path while avoiding repetition.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Energy Management System Model

An analytical model will be created to depict the energy flow within the energy management system, which includes the



internal combustion engine (ICE), electric motor, battery, and ZnO-capacitor. The various control techniques are used based on this model.

Control Methods

- **PI Controller (Proportional-Integral):**To reduce the discrepancy between the two, it modifies the energy flow using integral and proportional expressions.
- **PID Controller (Proportional-Integral-Derivative):**To further stabilize the system and anticipate future errors, this improved version of PI incorporates a derivative term.
- **Fuzzy Logic Controller:**Adjusts to new driving circumstances by using a predetermined set of rules that deal with energy management system uncertainties and non-linearities.

Simulation Setup

A model of the ZnO-capacitor system and the hybrid electric vehicle are used in the simulations conducted in MATLAB/Simulink. Under each

control approach, we examine performance measures including energy economy, power loss, and vehicle acceleration.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1: Energy Efficiency Comparison

Control Method	City Driving Efficiency (%)	Highway Driving Efficiency (%)
PI Controller	85.0	88.0
PID Controller	87.0	90.0
Fuzzy Controller	90.0	92.0

The data in Table 1 clearly indicate that switching from the PI controller to the Fuzzy controller leads to an increase in energy efficiency. The Fuzzy controller, in particular, outperforms all others in terms of energy efficiency, coming in at 90% in urban areas, 92% on highways, and 91% in mixed-traffic situations. With efficiency ratings of 87% in city driving, 90% on highways, and 88% in mixed-traffic situations, respectively, the PID controller outperforms the PI controller by a little margin. Conversely, figures of 85% for city driving, 88% for highway driving, and 86% for mixed driving indicate that the



PI controller is the least efficient.

Fuzzy Controlle r	3.2	3.7
-------------------------	-----	-----

Table 2: Power Loss Comparison

Control Method	City Driving Power Loss (W)	Highway Driving Power Loss (W)	Mixed Driving Power Loss (W)
PI Controller	15	12	14
PID Controller	12	9	10
Fuzzy Controller	8	6	7

According to the statistics in Table 2, the Fuzzy controller always leads to the lowest power loss, with values of 8 W for city driving, 6 W for highway driving, and 7 W for mixed driving. Based on these results, it seems that the Fuzzy logic controller can reduce energy waste the best in any driving situation. With losses of 12 W for city driving, 9 W for highway driving, and 10 W for mixed driving, the PID controller shows a decrease in power loss compared to the PI controller. Whereas under identical operating circumstances, the PI controller shows the greatest power loss at 15, 12, and 14 W.

Table 3: Vehicle Acceleration Comparison

Control Method	City Driving Acceleration (m/s ²)	Highway Driving Acceleration (m/s ²)	Mixed Driving Acceleration (m/s ²)
PI Controller	2.5	3.0	2.8
PID Controller	3.0	3.5	3.2

Table 3 displays that in all driving scenarios, the Fuzzy controller exhibits the maximum acceleration. In city driving, the acceleration is 3.2 m/s², on highways it is 3.7 m/s², and in mixed driving circumstances it is 3.5 m/s².

That the Fuzzy logic controller improves acceleration performance by making the car more responsive is evident. The PID controller outperforms the PI controller in all situations, with acceleration values of 3.0 m/s² in urban areas, 3.5 m/s² on highways, and 3.2 m/s² on mixed routes. However, according to city, highway, and mixed driving conditions, the PI controller produces the slowest acceleration, measuring 2.5 m/s², 3.0 m/s², and 2.8 m/s², respectively.

V. CONCLUSION

The simulation results show that in all types of driving situations (city, highway, and mixed), the Fuzzy logic

controller gets the best acceleration, reduces power loss, and achieves the maximum energy efficiency. When it comes to maximizing the performance



of the HEV, the Fuzzy logic controller has a clear advantage over the PI and PID controllers. It can adapt to dynamic driving circumstances and handle system non-linearities, which is really useful. These results point to the possibility that a Fuzzy logic-based control technique might be a good way to enhance the energy management of current hybrid cars, which would lead to lower emissions, higher fuel efficiency, and better performance all around.

REFERENCES: -

1. Torreglosa, J.. (2022). Energy Management Control and Optimization for Hybrid Electric Vehicles. *Applied Sciences*. 12(1). 9263. 10.3390/app12189263.
2. Xu, Nan & Kong, & Chu, Zhigang & Ju, & Shih, Yang-Hsin. (2019). Towards a Smarter Energy Management System for Hybrid Vehicles: A Comprehensive Review of Control Strategies. *Applied Sciences*. 9(10). 2026. 10.3390/app9102026.
3. Qiu, Shaolin & Qiu, Lihong & Qian, Lijun & Pisu, Pierluigi. (2018). Hierarchical energy management control strategies for connected hybrid electric vehicles considering efficiencies feedback. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*. 90(2). 10.1016/j.simpat.2018.10.008.
4. Deng, Tao & Huang, Xiguang. (2015). Research on Energy Management Strategy of Hybrid Electric Vehicle. *MATEC Web of Conferences*. 22(1). 03005. 10.1051/mateconf/20152203005.
5. Conte, Fiorentino Valerio. (2006). Battery and battery management for hybrid electric vehicles: A review. *Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik*. 123(10). 424-431. 10.1007/s00502-006-0383-6.
6. Zhang, Fengqi & Wang, Lihua & Coskun, Serdar & Pang, Hui & Cui, Yahui & Xi, Junqiang. (2020). Energy Management Strategies for Hybrid Electric Vehicles: Review, Classification, Comparison, and Outlook. *Energies*. 13(13). 1-35. 10.3390/en13133352.
7. Shekhar, Tanay & Shrivastava, Jyoti. (2015). Energy Management & Control Performance Analysis of Hybrid Electric Vehicle. *IJIREEICE*. 3(3). 58-62. 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2015.3312.



8. Long, Bo & Lim, Shin & Bai, Zhi & Ryu, Ji & Chong, Kil. (2014). Energy Management and Control of Electric Vehicles, Using Hybrid Power Source in Regenerative Braking Operation. *Energies*. 7(7). 4300-4315. 10.3390/en7074300.
9. Ghazaly, Nouby. (2014). Power Management Strategies for Hybrid Electric Vehicles. *European Journal of Academic Essays*. 1(5). 63-67.
10. Salmasi, Farzad. (2007). Control Strategies for Hybrid Electric Vehicles: Evolution, Classification, Comparison, and Future Trends. *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*. 56(5). 2393-2404. 10.1109/TVT.2007.899933.
11. Jiang, Jielin & Jiang, Qinting & Chen, Jinhui & Zhou, Xiaotong & Zhu, Shengkai & Chen, Tianyu. (2021). Advanced Power Management and Control for Hybrid Electric Vehicles: A Survey. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*. 2021(3). 1-12. 10.1155/2021/6652038.
12. Marina Martinez, Clara & Hu, Xiaosong & Cao, Dongpu & Velenis, Efsthios & Gao, Bo & Wellers, Matthias. (2016). Energy Management in Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles: Recent Progress and a Connected Vehicles Perspective. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*. 66(6). 1-16. 10.1109/TVT.2016.2582721.
13. Panday, A.; Bansal, H. A Review of Optimal Energy Management Strategies for Hybrid Electric Vehicles. *Int. J. Veh. Technol.* **2014**, 2014, 160510. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
14. clodean, C.; Varga, B.; Burnete, N.; Cimerdean, D.; Jurchiș, B. Comparison of Different Battery Types for Electric Vehicles. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*; IOP Publishing: Bristol, UK, 2017; Volume 252, p. 012058. [[Google Scholar](#)]
15. Hasan, M.K.; Mahmud, M.; Habib, A.A.; Motakabber, S.M.; Islam, S. Review of Electric Vehicle Energy Storage and Management System: Standards, Issues, and Challenges. *J. Energy Storage* 2021, 41, 102940. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
16. .Hasan, M.K.; Mahmud, M.; Habib, A.A.; Motakabber, S.M.; Islam, S.



- Review of Electric Vehicle Energy Storage and Management System: Standards, Issues, and Challenges. *J. Energy Storage* 2021, 41, 102940. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
17. Iclodean, C.; Varga, B.; Burnete, N.; Cimerdean, D.; Jurchiş, B. Comparison of Different Battery Types for Electric Vehicles. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*; IOP Publishing: Bristol, UK, 2017; Volume 252, p. 012058. [Google Scholar]
18. X-Engineer.org. What is the Difference between micro, Mild, Full, And Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles. Available online: <https://x-engineer.org/automotive-engineering/vehicle/hybrid/micro-mild-full-hybrid-electric-vehicle/> (accessed on 31 May 2024).
19. Zhou, J.; Li, M.; Xu, M. Optimization-Based Control Strategy for Large Hybrid Electric Vehicles. *SAE Tech. Pap.* 2018, 2018, 10. [Google Scholar]
20. Basma, H.; Mansour, C.; Halaby, H.; Radwan, A.B. Methodology to Design an Optimal Rule-Based Energy Management Strategy Using Energetic Macroscopic Representation: Case of Plug-In Series Hybrid Electric Vehicle. *Adv. Automob. Eng.* 2018, 7, 3. [Google Scholar]
21. Ripaccioli, G.; Bernardini, D.; Di Cairano, S.; Bemporad, A.; Kolmanovsky, I.V. A Stochastic Model Predictive Control Approach for Series Hybrid Electric Vehicle Power Management. In *Proceedings of the 2010 American Control Conference*, Baltimore, MD, USA, 30 June 2010; pp. 5844–5849. [Google Scholar]
22. Silva, C.; Ross, M.; Farias, T. Evaluation of Energy Consumption, Emissions, and Cost of Plug-In Hybrid Vehicles. *Energy Convers. Manag.* **2009**, 50, 1635–1643. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
23. Bejgam, R.; Sunkari, S.; Keshipeddi, S.B.; Rangaraju, M.R.; Dunde, V. A Brief Study on Hybrid Electric Vehicles. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Third International Conference on Inventive Research in Computing Applications (ICIRCA)*, Coimbatore, India, 15 September 2021; pp. 54–59. [Google Scholar]



24. Adaptive real-time optimal energy management strategy for extender range electric vehicle *Energy* (2020)
25. Hao, L.; Wang, Y.; Bai, Y.; Zhou, Q. Energy Management Strategy on a Parallel Mild Hybrid Electric Vehicle Based on Breadth First Search Algorithm. *Energy Convers. Manag.* **2021**, *243*, 114408. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Zhang, Y.; Liu, H.; Zhang, Z.; Luo, Y.; Guo, Q.; Liao, S. Cloud Computing-Based Real-Time Global Optimization of Battery Aging and Energy Consumption for Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles. *J. Power Sources* **2020**, *479*, 229069. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Lanzarotto, D.; Marchesoni, M.; Passalacqua, M.; Prato, A.P.; Repetto, M. Overview of Different Hybrid Vehicle Architectures. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* **2018**, *51*, 218–222. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Zhu, Y.; Li, X.; Liu, Q.; Li, S.; Xu, Y. A Comprehensive Review of Energy Management Strategies for Hybrid Electric Vehicles. *Mech. Sci.* **2022**, *13*, 147–188. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Yang, C.; Zha, M.; Wang, W.; Liu, K.; Xiang, C. Efficient Energy Management Strategy for Hybrid Electric Vehicles/Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles: Review and Recent Advances under Intelligent Transportation System. *IET Intell. Transp. Syst.* **2020**, *14*, 702–711. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Hierarchical reinforcement learning based energy management strategy for hybrid electric vehicle *Energy* (2022)
31. Yu, Y.; Jiang, J.; Min, Z.; Wang, P.; Shen, W. Research on Energy Management Strategies of Extended-Range Electric Vehicles Based on Driving Characteristics. *World Electr. Veh. J.* **2020**, *11*, 54. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Du, A.; Han, Y.; Zhu, Z. Review on Multi-Objective Optimization of Energy Management Strategy for Hybrid Electric Vehicle Integrated with Traffic Information. *Energy Sources, Part A Recovery Util. Environ. Eff.* **2022**, *44*, 7914–7933. [[Google Scholar](#)]
33. Zhang, F.; Hu, X.; Liu, T.; Xu, K.; Duan, Z.; Pang, H. Computationally



- Efficient Energy Management for Hybrid Electric Vehicles Using Model Predictive Control and Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communication. *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.* **2021**, 70, 237–250. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Torreglosa, J.P.; Garcia-Triviño, P.; Vera, D.; López-García, D.A. Analyzing the Improvements of Energy Management Systems for Hybrid Electric Vehicles Using a Systematic Literature Review: How Far Are These Controls from Rule-Based Controls Used in Commercial Vehicles? *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, 10, 8744. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Rule-corrected energy management strategy for hybrid electric vehicles based on operation-mode prediction J Clean Prod (2018)
36. Lin, Y.; Chu, L.; Hu, J.; Zhang, Y.; Hou, Z. DRL-ECMS: An Adaptive Hierarchical Equivalent Consumption Minimization Strategy Based on Deep Reinforcement Learning. In Proceedings of the 2022 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV), Aachen, Germany, 4 June 2022; pp. 235–240. [[Google Scholar](#)]
37. Hou, J.; Song, Z. A Hierarchical Energy Management Strategy for Hybrid Energy Storage via Vehicle-to-Cloud Connectivity. *Appl. Energy* **2020**, 257, 113900. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Tang, X.; Chen, J.; Yang, K.; Toyoda, M.; Liu, T.; Hu, X. Visual Detection and Deep Reinforcement Learning-Based Car Following and Energy Management for Hybrid Electric Vehicles. *IEEE Trans. Transp. Electrification* **2022**, 8, 2501–2515. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Wu, X.; Cao, B.; Wen, J.; Bian, Y. Particle Swarm Optimization for Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicle Control Strategy Parameter. In Proceedings of the 2008 IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference, Harbin, China, 3 September 2008; pp. 1–5. [[Google Scholar](#)]
40. Cost-effective reinforcement learning energy management for plug-in hybrid fuel cell and battery ships Appl Energy (2020)
41. A survey on key techniques and development perspectives of equivalent consumption minimisation



- strategy for hybrid electric vehicles
Renew Sustain Energy Rev(2021)
42. Real-time predictive energy management of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles for coordination of fuel economy and battery degradation Energy(2021)
43. Control strategies for hybrid electric vehicles: evolution, classification, comparison, and future trends
IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., vol. 56 (5) (2007), pp. 2393-
44. 2404, 10.1109/TVT.2007.899933
45. L. Tang, G. Rizzoni, S. Onori, Energy Management Strategy for HEVs Including Battery Life Optimization, IEEE Transactions on Transportation Electrification, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 211–222, Oct. 2015, doi: 10.1109/TTE.2015.2471180.
46. F. Un-Noor, S. Padmanaban, L. Mihet-Popa, M. Mollah, E. Hossain A comprehensive study of key electric vehicle (EV) components, technologies, challenges, impacts, and future direction of development
47. Tseng, H.-K.; Wu, J.S.; Liu, X.
- Affordability of electric vehicles for a sustainable transport system: An economic and environmental analysis. Energy Policy 2013, 61, 441–447. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
48. Chau, K.; Chan, C.C. Emerging energy-efficient technologies for hybrid electric vehicles. Proc. IEEE 2007, 95, 821–835. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
49. Mendel, J.M. Fuzzy logic systems for engineering: A tutorial. Proc. IEEE 1995, 83, 345–377. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
50. Un-Noor, F.; Padmanaban, S.; Mihet-Popa, L.; Mollah, M.N.; Hossain, E. A comprehensive study of key electric vehicle (EV) components, technologies, challenges, impacts, and future direction of development. Energies 2017, 10, 1217. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
51. BG Pollet et al. Current status of hybrid, battery and fuel cell electric vehicles: from electrochemistry to market prospects Electrochem Acta (2012)
52. MA
Hannan
et al.
Hybrid



electric
vehicle
s and
their
challen
ges: a
review
Renew
Sustain
Energy
Rev
(2014)

53. V Paladini et al. Super-capacitors fuel-cell hybrid electric vehicle optimization and control strategy development Energy Convers Manag (2007)
54. Burress T, Campbell S. Benchmarking EV and HEV power electronics and electric machines. In: Proceedings of the 2013.
55. M Ehsani et al. Modern electric, hybrid electric and fuel cell vehicles: fundamentals, theory and design (2010)
56. FA Azidin et al. Renewable energy technologies and hybrid electric vehicle challenges Prz Elektrotech (2013)