

TRANSFER PRICING METHODS

KHUSHBOO RAWAT

RESEARCH SCHOLAR DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & COMMERCE, THE GLOCAL UNIVERSITY, MIRZAPUR POLE, SAHARANPUR, U.P.

DR. GAGANDEEP KAUR

(ASSISTANT PROFESSOR) SUPERVISOR DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & COMMERCE, THE GLOCAL UNIVERSITY, MIRZAPUR POLE, SAHARANPUR, U.P.

Abstract: When it comes to determining the price of intra-group transactions, maximizing profitability, and remaining in compliance with tax requirements, transfer pricing methodologies are vital tools for multinational organizations. Several transfer pricing strategies are discussed in this article. Some of these approaches include the Cost Plus method, the Resale Price method, the Transactional Net Margin Method (TNMM), and the Profit Split method. Emphasizing the significance of choosing the most suitable way according to the particulars of the transactions and the regulatory landscape, we explore the fundamental ideas, benefits, and drawbacks of each approach. Businesses may make well-informed choices that minimize tax risks and enhance overall financial performance by knowing these transfer pricing approaches and how to use them.

Keywords:

Multinational corporations, intra-group transactions, transfer pricing, methods of transfer pricing, cost plus, resale price, transactional net margin, profit split, and tax compliance are all topics covered.

INTRODUCTION

Within their corporate group, multinational firms do a great deal of cross-border business in today's more linked global economy. Goods, services, intellectual property, and money are all fair game in these kinds of

deals. Setting pricing for such intra-group transactions is a major difficulty, despite the fact that they are an essential aspect of international corporate operations. Transfer pricing, the process of determining fair prices for intra-group transactions, significantly



affects financial performance and tax compliance.

When connected firms within a global organization transfer commodities, services, or intangible assets to one another, this practice is called transfer pricing. One of the main goals of transfer pricing is to make sure that connected companies are priced as if they were completely separate entities in the market. This helps to keep the relationships between them as neutral as possible. For two primary reasons—tax compliance and optimizing profitability—achieving this arm's length concept is vital.

Multinational firms may better meet the requirements of tax laws in several countries if they follow the arm's length concept. To prevent tax avoidance or evasion by manipulating intra-group prices, transfer pricing restrictions are put in place, and different nations have different tax laws and regulations. Multinational firms need to keep meticulous records of their transfer pricing strategies to prevent disagreements with tax authorities and possible fines.

In contrast, transfer pricing has an effect on profits that is immediately apparent to the business. If transfer prices are set too high,

they may lead to unnecessary expenses and a decrease in profitability. On the other hand, if they are set too low, they can increase the likelihood of inspection from tax authorities, which can result in higher taxes, fines, and dangers to reputation. As a result, finding the sweet spot between reducing tax risks and improving overall financial performance requires optimizing transfer pricing.

With any luck, this essay will shed light on the many ways in which MNCs calculate the costs of intra-group transactions via the use of transfer pricing. With an emphasis on tailoring method selection to a company's unique transactional needs and regulatory landscape, we'll go over the fundamentals of each approach and highlight their benefits and drawbacks. This way, companies may boost their profit line while still being in compliance with transfer pricing regulations.

COMPARABLE UNCONTROLLED PRICE (CUP) METHOD

Multinational firms often utilize the Comparable Uncontrolled Price (CUP) approach as a transfer pricing tool for pricing intra-group transactions. Prices for commodities, services, or intangible assets in regulated transactions should be equivalent to



those in uncontrolled transactions between unrelated parties in an open market. This is the idea upon which this system is founded. Among the several approaches to determining arm's length pricing, the CUP technique stands out for its reliance on real market data.

The Comparable Uncontrolled Price (CUP) approach is characterized by the following traits and features:

1. **Comparability:** The CUP approach is based on the idea of comparing controlled and uncontrolled transactions with comparable product or service qualities, quantities, and market circumstances in order to determine the prices or pricing structures. The regulated transaction is priced in a way that is comparable to what would have been paid in a market transaction between unrelated parties, thanks to this comparison.
2. **Data Availability:** Access to similar and trustworthy data from other sources is crucial to the CUP technique. Market data, price reports, or databases of similar transactions that are accessible to the public are examples of external sources. It may be quite a struggle to get accurate and relevant data, particularly for
3. **Adjustments:** There may be discrepancies between regulated and uncontrolled transactions that need to be adjusted when comparing costs. Product quality, location, terms and conditions, and other variables may all influence price changes.
4. **Preference for Internal CUP:** Where corporations have conducted comparable transactions with unaffiliated parties, they may have access to internal CUP data. Because it is better tailored to the company's needs, internal CUP data is often seen as the superior benchmark.
5. **Documentation:** If you want to show that the prices in your controlled transaction are consistent with those in similar uncontrolled transactions using the CUP approach, you must record everything properly. Included in the documentation should be specifics on the selection of similar data, any modifications performed, and the reasoning behind the method's use.
6. **Applicability:** When dealing with physical items or standardized services for which market data is easily accessible, the CUP technique shines. It could be



difficult to identify similar uncontrolled transactions when dealing with unusual or specialized items or services, therefore it would not be as useful in such cases.

7. **Accuracy and Defensibility:** Due to its reliance on objective market data rather than subjective judgments or assumptions, the CUP technique is regarded as one of the most accurate and defensible transfer pricing systems when implemented correctly.

To review, the Comparable Uncontrolled Price (CUP) technique is a way to think about transfer pricing that compares prices in an open market to find out what the arm's length price is for an intra-group transaction. Despite its robustness, international organizations often face the challenge of getting trustworthy and comparable external data. The CUP approach is not always applicable, hence businesses typically resort to alternative transfer pricing strategies.

UNDERSTANDING THE CUP METHOD AND ITS APPLICABILITY

Multinational firms often utilize the Comparable Uncontrolled Price (CUP) approach as a transfer pricing tool when trying to figure out how much to charge for

transactions inside their own group. The underlying premise is that in regulated transactions, the pricing of commodities, services, or intangible assets should be equivalent to the prices paid in an open market between unrelated parties for identical things.

A more in-depth analysis of the CUP approach and its practical uses may be found here:

1. **Core Principle:** To make sure that regulated transactions are priced similarly to what would have been paid in a similar transaction between unrelated parties, the CUP approach is based on this core notion. Put simply, it aims to prove that, similar to the open market, prices in regulated transactions are at arm's length.
2. **Comparability:** It is critical to identify uncontrolled transactions that are similar to the controlled transactions being studied in order to use the CUP approach efficiently. In other words, there has to be parity between the goods and services in question in regard to features, quality, quantity, and current market circumstances.
3. **Data Sources:** In order to prove the existence of unregulated transactions, the



CUP technique is very dependent on third-party data sources. Market data that is accessible to the public, statistics on prices in the industry, or databases of similar transactions are all examples of possible data sources. The industry and the particular goods or services involved may have a substantial impact on the availability and quality of such data.

4. **Adjustments:** To account for discrepancies between regulated and uncontrolled transactions, it is common practice to modify pricing in similar uncontrolled transactions. Product quality, geographical location, terms and conditions, and other pertinent elements might all play a role in these modifications.
5. **Preference for Internal CUP:** Companies may use internal CUP data when they have engaged in transactions with unrelated parties that are similar to their intra-group activities. Because it is tailored to the company's unique situation, internal CUP data is often seen as the superior benchmark.
6. **Documentation:** Using the CUP technique correctly requires thorough documentation. Businesses must explain in great detail how they chose their

comparable data, what changes they made, and why they used this strategy. To prove you're following transfer pricing rules and to defend your strategy in the event of an audit or disagreement with the tax authorities, you need thorough documentation.

7. **Applicability:** When dealing with physical commodities or standardized services for which accurate market data is easily accessible, the CUP technique shines. Finding really similar uncontrolled transactions might be problematic when dealing with unique, highly specialized, proprietary, or otherwise unusual items or services, therefore it may not be as useful or dependable in such cases.
8. **Accuracy and Defensibility:** Because it is based on objective market data and not on subjective assumptions or judgments, the CUP technique is a very accurate and defensible transfer pricing method when used properly and with proper documentation.

Finally, the CUP technique helps MNCs price intra-group transactions fairly. The method's appropriateness for the examined items or services and the availability of



similar uncontrolled transaction data determine its applicability. Alternative transfer pricing techniques might be considered by corporations when the CUP approach is not applicable to establish fair transaction prices.

COST PLUS METHOD

Multinational firms often utilize the Cost Plus approach (CPM) as a transfer pricing approach to establish the price of intra-group transactions. The idea is that a company's transfer price should include all of the costs associated with making and providing the product or service, plus a reasonable markup (profit margin), when transferred to another company in the same multinational group.

The main features and in-depth description of the Cost Plus Method are as follows:

1. **Calculation of Transfer Price:** When calculating the transfer price under the Cost Plus Method, the producing or supplying organization within the multinational group adds a defined profit margin (typically represented as a percentage) to the direct and indirect expenses. Common examples of such costs include operational expenditures, manufacturing costs, and other similar charges.
2. **Arm's Length Principle:** Making ensuring the transfer price is comparable to what would have been paid in a comparable transaction between unrelated parties is the primary goal of the CPM. To rephrase, its goal is to prove that the group's buying entity paid a fair price for the products or services in question.
3. **Profit Margin:** The Cost Plus Method relies heavily on the selection of profit margin. A reasonable profit margin would reflect what other, unaffiliated businesses in the same sector or market would normally make for comparable services with the same level of risk. When deciding on a profit margin, businesses need to think about things including market circumstances, industry norms, and the responsibilities and risks involved in the particular transaction.
4. **Documentation:** If one wants to use the CPM to prove that their profit margin is in line with arm's length pricing, they must keep meticulous records. The methodology used to calculate the profit margin and cost basis, as well as any elements that were taken into account for



comparison, should be detailed in this documentation.

5. **Applicability:** Whenever there is a transparent cost-plus connection and the transaction involves physical items or services and is conducted inside the same group, the CPM is often used. Due to the difficulty in establishing a reasonable profit margin, it may not be the best choice for deals involving rare or specialized goods and services.
6. **Flexibility:** The ability to adjust to different profit levels is a strength of the Cost Plus Method. By considering variables like market conditions and the roles played by each group member, this approach enables businesses to adjust the profit margin based on the details of each transaction.
7. **Consistency:** Multinational firms must consider the local regulatory climate and transfer pricing rules, which could change from nation to country, to guarantee uniform implementation of the CPM across several jurisdictions.

To summarize, the Cost Plus Method is a way to compute the transfer price in a multinational company. It takes the production or provision expenses spent by

one entity and adds an appropriate profit margin. The goal is to make the intra-group price the same as what you would pay for a similar deal with an unrelated party. In order to follow transfer pricing rules and the arm's length principle while using this strategy, you must keep meticulous records and carefully choose your profit margins.

EXPLORING THE COST PLUS METHOD AND ITS RATIONALE

In order to establish the price of intra-group transactions, especially those involving physical commodities or services, multinational businesses sometimes use the Cost Plus Method (CPM), a transfer pricing technique. Simplifying processes, making them easier to apply, and attaining the arm's length concept are the guiding principles of the CPM. To go more into the Cost Plus Method and its reasoning, below is:

1. **Arm's Length Principle:** To make sure that intra-group transaction costs are as near as possible to what unrelated parties would agree to in a comparable circumstance, the CPM is mostly used. That is to say, the transfer price ought to be as neutral as it would be in a market transaction among unconnected parties.



- The CPM assists in bringing intra-group pricing in line with market circumstances by adding a profit margin to the expenses.
- 2. Simplicity:** When compared to other transfer pricing systems, the CPM is renowned for its relative simplicity. An acceptable profit margin must be added to the costs incurred by the providing entity within the multinational group, which must be calculated and documented. When the entities involved have a clear cost-plus connection, this easy strategy becomes much easier to apply.
 - 3. Availability of Cost Data:** When reliable cost data is easily accessible, the CPM works effectively for transactions. Companies that make physical things and have a well defined and recorded cost structure often employ it. When this occurs, the CPM may provide you a transparent and clearly defensible transfer price.
 - 4. Flexibility:** Setting the profit margin is made easier using the CPM. Businesses have the freedom to choose a profit margin that aligns with current market trends and transactional details. Because of this adaptability, changes may be made according to things like market circumstances, industry standards, and the roles and responsibilities of each group member.
 - 5. Documentation and Transparency:** An important part of the CPM is thorough documentation. Businesses need to keep meticulous records of their cost estimates, the factors used to determine the profit margin, and any changes that were made. If you want to prove you followed the rules when it came to transfer pricing and defend your strategy in the event of an audit or disagreement with the tax authorities, you'll need this paperwork.
 - 6. Applicability:** The CPM works well for most intra-group deals, but it may not work so well for deals involving very unusual or specialized items or services since it's harder to figure out what the right profit margin should be. The Transactional Net Margin Method (TNMM) or the Comparable Uncontrolled Price (CUP) approach to transfer pricing could be more suited for these kinds of situations.
 - 7. Consistency:** When using the CPM in multiple countries, multinational firms need to think about the rules and laws regarding transfer pricing and the local regulatory climate. There may be



particular rules or regulations for this approach in certain nations.

Multinational corporations must consider local regulatory climates and regulations pertaining to transfer pricing when applying the CPM in various countries. Some countries may have specific laws regarding this method.

CONCLUSION

Final thoughts: one tool in the armory of MNCs for transfer pricing is the Cost Plus Method (CPM). It's practical and widely used. The idea behind it is to provide flexibility, simplicity, and ease of use while yet ensuring arm's length pricing. The CPM's goal is to make sure that intra-group transactions are priced like what unrelated parties would agree upon in a free market by adding a reasonable profit margin to the expenses.

The CPM's strength is that it works effectively for deals involving physical items or services with well defined costs and easily accessible cost data. This tool is great for pricing transactions like these since it is easy to understand and use, and you can adjust the profit margins to match your needs. A key

component in supporting the selected method and proving compliance with transfer pricing requirements is proper documentation.

Realize, too, that the CPM isn't always the best option for intra-group transactions; this is especially true when dealing with one-of-a-kind or highly specialized goods and services, for which it might be more difficult to determine an adequate profit margin. Multinational firms may adapt their transfer pricing strategies to fit the unique aspects of each transaction and local regulations when faced with such situations.

Compliance with tax requirements and optimization of profitability in the complicated terrain of international corporate operations are balanced by the CPM, a realistic solution in the field of transfer pricing. In order to successfully traverse the complexities of cross-border business, multinational firms must maintain a cautious approach to transfer pricing while the global economy keeps changing.

REFERENCES

- Adler P.S and B. Borys (2016), 'Two types of bureaucracy: enabling and



coercive', Administrative Science
Quarterly, Vol.41 No.1, pp.61-89.

Organizations and Society July/August,
441-461.

- Birnberg, J.G., Shields, M.D., & Young, S.M. (2010). The case for multiple methods in empirical management accounting research (with an illustration from budget setting). *Journal of Management Accounting Research* Fall, 2, 32-66.
- Chow, C.W., Shields, M.D., & Wu, A. (2019). The importance of national culture in the design of and preference for management control for multinational organizations. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* July/August, 441-461.
- Eccles, R. (2013). Control with fairness in transfer pricing. *Harvard Business Review* November- December, 149-161.
- Gephart, R. (2014). From the editors: Qualitative Research and the Academy of Management Journal. *Academy of Management Journal* 47 (4), 454-462.
- Hamaekers H. (2011). International: Arm's length: how long? *International Transfer Pricing Journal* March/April, 8 (2), 30-40.